A guiding oblique osteotomy cut to prevent bad split in sagittal split ramus osteotomy: a technical note
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ABSTRACT

Aim: To present a simple technical modification of a medial osteotomy cut which prevents its misdirection and overcomes various anatomical variations as well as technical problems. Methods: The medial osteotomy cut is modified in the posterior half of 15°-20° following novel landmarks. Results: The proposed cut exclusively directs the splitting forces downwards to create a favorable lingual fracture, preventing the possibility of an upwards split which would cause a coronoid or condylar fracture. Conclusion: This modification has proven to be successful to date without encountering the complications of a bad split or nerve damage.
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INTRODUCTION

Sagittal split osteotomy of the ramus may be the procedure which defined the evolution of the art and science of oral surgery. Although the basic design of the sagittal split ramus procedure evolved very quickly, the elimination of complications has taken longer.[1] The procedure has been modified many times since its introduction by Trauner and Obwegeser.[2] One modification frequently used is a shortened medial horizontal osteotomy, which, instead of extending the cut to the posterior border, is carried only to the lingual fossa posterior to the lingula.[3] In the majority of cases, this technique allows for adequate splitting of the mandible.[3] However, this modification is not devoid of complications, as the medial cut can be misdirected due to anatomic variability of the ramus, or an improperly directed osteotomy cut, resulting in a bad lingual split.

Various studies have reported an incidence of bad splits ranging from 1.7% to 9.1%.[4] Although the most common unfavorable splits involve a buccal plate fracture, these bad lingual splits may result in serious complications including fracture of the lingual cortical plate, condylar neck and coronoid process.[4]

The purpose of this article is to suggest a modification of the medial osteotomy cut which will prevent misdirection while overcoming anatomical variations and technical problems.

METHODS

Surgical access for the sagittal split osteotomy is performed in the standard fashion. Following fine dissection over the anterior border of mandible, the insertion of the temporalis muscle is detached and elevated to the level of the sigmoid notch. The anterior ramus is then isolated with retraction of the soft tissues. The medial ramus is accessed by subperiosteal insertion of a malleable retractor above the foramen, and the inferior alveolar nerve is identified at the level of the linguula. The medial osteotomy cut is directed parallel[3] to the occlusal plane [Figure 1] at the level of superior aspect of linguula in the standard fashion, but ends at a point midway between the linguula and the ascending ramus.
This completes the anterior half of the traditional medial cut. The posterior half of the osteotomy cut is completed by directing the bur obliquely downwards at an angle of 15°-20° to the anterior half of the osteotomy cut which is parallel to occlusal plane. Care is taken to avoid damage to the inferior alveolar neurovascular bundle.

After completing the guiding oblique (GO) osteotomy cut, rest of the procedure is continued following routine standard technique.[3]

RESULTS

The GO cut extends downwards 3 mm posterior to the lingula from the point where the anterior half of the osteotomy cut was concluded. This creates an oblique angle between anterior and posterior osteotomy cuts and thus completes the modified medial ramus osteotomy. As the GO cut directs the splitting forces downwards, there is no possibility of the split propagating upwards to cause a coronoid or condylar fracture.

DISCUSSION

A major disadvantage of the traditional medial osteotomy cut is the effect that the anterior orientation of the bur has on the direction of the posterior cut. Another shortcoming of the cut is its abrupt termination at the posterior end. This produces a sharp angle at the junction of the buccal and lingual cortical plates of ramus of mandible. The forces applied in the sagittal split may concentrate at this angle, and the stress may be propagated in any direction to create bad splits. This is especially true in cases in which the posterior cut terminates in the monocortical area of the medial ramus rather in the bicortical region.

In contrast to the conventional medial cut, a simple modification which incorporates a GO cut in its posterior half minimizes the stress concentrated at this angle, and directs the cut downward to give a favorable lingual split. The surgical design also provides flexibility in placing the anterior half of the cut downwards in favorable bicortical region in cases of anatomical variation this avoids the possibility of coronoid fracture.

Advantages of a GO osteotomy cut in specific situations to prevent bad splits are as follows: (1) in regular situations a GO cut provides flexibility in adjusting the direction of the posterior medial cut if the anterior cut has been placed in an unfavorable direction; (2) in cases in which the ramal occlusal plane angle[3] is < 70°, a parallel osteotomy would be directed superiorly, cutting the inferior portion of the neck of the condylar process.[1] To prevent this from occurring, it is advised to place the horizontal osteotomy cut at an altered angle of 10°-15° inferiorly.[2] As the suggested modification already includes a 15° bur angulation for the anterior cut, it is not necessary to adjust the osteotomy cut further; (3) in cases with a high lingula, there is an increased incidence of unfavorable fractures.[3] A high lingula will place the medial osteotomy in a region of the mandibular ramus that has little or no cancellous bone.[18] In this situation it is suggested that the medial osteotomy be angled from its typical location in the mid-ascending ramus up to the lingula of the medial ramus.[5] If cancellous bone is not encountered, the medial cut is widened at the expense of cortex along the inferior aspect of the medial osteotomy until cancellous bone is seen.[15] However, the widening creates an oblique medial cut directed upwards which increase the possibility of bad split. This complication is successfully avoided by the proposed modification which allows to place the anterior half of the cut in the favorable (bicortex) cancellous part of anterior ramus which is independent of the direction of posterior cut. A similar modification can be applied in cases of a thin mandible in which there is little cancellous bone; and (4) several investigators have demonstrated an increased risk of unfavorable fractures associated with the presence of third molars at the osteotomy site during sagittal split ramus osteotomy.[5-8] The authors have observed a concentration of stress at the angle created by the buccal and lingual cortex of impacted third molar during the sagittal split which may result in a lingual plate fracture. For the same reason a lingual back cut[5] posterior to the lingula is suggested. The addition of a lingual back cut helps direct the lingual fracture to a more favorable split at the inferior border of the osteotomy.[5] The present GO cut acts as a back cut when directed 3-4 mm downwards with the same angulation which directs the lingual split laterally and inferiorly to the impacted molar providing a favorable split at the inferior border of the osteotomy.

In conclusion, splitting the straight medial cut into two components with angulation in the midpoint makes the anterior and posterior cuts independent of each other. Although there are many advantages and applications, a larger study is required to compare its versatility to that of the traditional medial cut. Nonetheless, the current modification has proven to be successful to date in the author’s hands while avoiding the complications of a bad split or nerve damage.
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