Effect of limited access dressing on surface pH of chronic wounds
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ABSTRACT

Aim: Changes in the pH of chronic wounds can inhibit the optimal activity of various enzymes in the wound environment, thereby delaying wound healing. The aim of the present study is to monitor the effect of limited access dressing (LAD) on the pH on the surface of chronic wounds. Methods: A total of 140 patients with chronic wounds of more than 4 weeks duration were divided into two groups by simple randomization: a LAD group (n = 64) and a conventional dressing group (n = 76). Fifty-six participants (22 in the LAD group and 34 in the conventional dressing group) were lost to follow-up or withdrawn from the study. Results: In the LAD group (n = 42), the mean age was 38.3 ± 10.56 years (range: 12-60 years), and the mean wound size at the time of admission was 28 cm² (range: 19-40 cm²). In the conventional dressing group (n = 42), the mean age was 35.3 ± 14.0 years (range: 17-65 years), and the mean wound size at the time of admission was 26 cm² (range: 18-39 cm²). Patients treated with LAD showed a significant decrease in the mean ± standard deviation pH when compared with the conventional dressing group (0.83 ± 0.52 vs. 0.41 ± 0.26, P = 0.048). Conclusion: LAD reduces the chronic wound surface pH to a level required for the optimal function of various enzymes. This could be a factor that exerts a beneficial effect on wound healing.
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INTRODUCTION

Chronic nonhealing wounds continue to pose a treatment challenge to the clinician.¹,¹¹ Several enzymatic reactions in the wound environment are governed by the wound pH. Chronic nonhealing wounds may occur secondary to an elevated alkaline pH.¹¹ Very few studies have investigated the relationship between wound pH and the healing of chronic wounds. A study by Leveen et al.⁵ established that weakly acidic wound environments significantly inhibit protease activity and may potentially promote wound healing. A subsequent comprehensive review by Schneider et al.⁶ and Percival et al.⁷ showed that pH is an influential factor in the healing process, as an acidic environment favors wound healing. The pH of the wound surface may change due to various factors including infection, oxygenation and topical applications of various dressing materials. Modulation of the wound pH may therefore change the direction of wound healing. There were no studies in the literature evaluating the role of negative pressure wound therapy in modulating wound pH. Limited access dressing (LAD), a relatively new technique that combines negative pressure and moist wound...
dressings, has been shown to have a positive effect on wound healing. The aim of the present study is to determine the effect of LAD on wound surface pH.

**METHODS**

**Ethical issues**
This randomized control trial was carried out in the Burns and Plastic Surgery Department of Kasturba Medical College and Hospital, Manipal, Kaeataka, India. The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee. The study was conducted as per approved protocol after obtaining informed consent from all patients.

**Study design and randomization**
Two hundred and fifteen patients with chronic wounds of more than 4 weeks duration were screened in the study. Patients were evaluated for inclusion criteria (nonhealing chronic wounds) and exclusion criteria (collagen disorders, diabetes, leprosy, cirrhosis, HIV and pregnancy), and 140 patients were enrolled in the study. Simple randomization was performed (www.random.org; random number table was prepared) with assigned numbers and treatment sealed in opaque envelopes.

One hundred and forty patients with a mean age of 36.86 ± 12.4 years (range: 12-65 years) were randomized and assigned to the LAD group ($n = 64$) or the conventional dressing group ($n = 76$) by simple randomization [Figure 1].

Of 140 patients, 56 participants (22 in the LAD group and 34 in the conventional dressing group) were lost to follow-up or withdrawn from the study. Of 42 patients in the LAD group, 22 (52%) were women, and 20 (48%) were men. In the conventional dressing group, of 42 patients, 18 (42.8%) were women, and 24 (57.1%) were men [Figure 1].

In the LAD group, the mean age was 38.3 ± 10.56 years (range: 12-60 years), and the mean wound size at the time of admission was 28 cm$^2$ (range: 19-40 cm$^2$). In the conventional dressing group, the mean age was 35.3 ± 14.0 years (range: 17-65 years), and the mean wound size at the time of admission was 26 cm$^2$ (range: 18-39 cm$^2$) [Table 1].

Patients in the LAD group were treated with intermittent negative pressure. In the conventional closed dressing group, patients were dressed daily with 5% povidone-iodine solution soaked gauze. The wounds of both groups were washed daily.

**Assessment of wound surface pH**
The surface pH was measured by a pH paper strip (Merck, Mumbai, India) placed on the surface of the wound with care taken to ensure accuracy.

**Statistical analysis**
Data obtained from both groups were analyzed using the Student’s $t$-test SPSS, 15th version, SPSS Inc., Chicago. The data (mean ± SD) were compared between the two groups. A $P < 0.05$ was taken as statistically significant.

![Figure 1: Consort flowchart](image-url)
**RESULTS**

In total, 215 patients were screened, 140 patients were randomized, of whom 64 were assigned to the LAD group and 76 to the conventional group [Figure 1]. Of the 56 participants, 22 in the LAD group and 34 in the conventional dressing group were lost to follow-up or withdrawn from the study. The results of wound surface pH in chronic ulcer patients of the LAD group as compared to that of the conventional dressing group shown in Table 2.

**DISCUSSION**

The acidity and alkalinity are measured by pH value, that is, pH is a measurement of the concentration of H⁺ in the body. It ranges from 1 to 14, with pure water at a pH of 7 to be considered neutral. Acidic solution has a pH of less than 7 and basic or alkaline solutions have a pH more than 7. Body pH can be tested using litmus paper immersed in saliva or urine. Even a minor variation in the concentration of H⁺ can alter the rate of many biochemical processes. Body pH can change secondary to diet, consumption of chemicals, exercise and various diseases altering the metabolism of body. Topical applications may change the body surface pH. Wound healing is regulated by complex chemical processes mediated by various enzymes and hormones. Hence, various intrinsic and extrinsic factors may influence the pH of the wound environment, leading to alterations in the healing process. Therefore, events in wound healing including vasodilation, inflammation, release of oxygen into the wound bed, protease activity and the release and effects of bacterial toxins may be significantly influenced by the pH of the wound. The pH of body fluids and tissues is stabilized by various protein buffering systems. Hydrogen ions bind to protein molecules or bound hydrogen ions are released, changing their concentration in the body’s tissues and fluids. Intracellular buffers such as hemoglobin in its reduced form act as good buffering agents of the body. Hemostasis, inflammation, proliferation and remodeling are the four overlapping phases of wound healing. Altered or impaired healing of chronic wounds is the result of an interruption in the various processes of these four phases of wound healing. Changes in the pH of the wound surface may be induced by application of topical preparations that influence the cellular events in wound healing. Subjective evaluation of the wound bed is performed by clinicians when making clinical decisions regarding management. A simple system for monitoring wound pH may provide an objective method for clinicians when deciding upon the course of treatment.[10]

The pH environment of chronic wounds has been recorded within the range of 7.15–8.9.[11-13] Both acute and chronic wounds with an elevated alkaline pH have demonstrated lower rates of healing than wounds in which the pH is closer to neutral.[5,10,14] Chronic wounds exhibit excessive breakdown of the extracellular matrix, and this occurs more readily when the wound has an alkaline pH[14,15] which may contribute to nonhealing ulcers. A study by Greener et al.[15] showed that every protease shows peak enzyme activity at certain pH levels, where the protein is broken down more rapidly than at other pH values. For example, cathepsins G has peak activity at pH 7.0, elastase at 8.0, matrix metalloproteinases 2 (MMP-2) at 8.0, and neutrophil elastase at 8.3. The shift of the pH in a wound environment from alkaline to acidic favors the production of healthy granulation tissue by decreasing the growth of bacteria and MMPs.[15] The alteration of surface pH of wounds by the use of topical preparations has been used to promote healing.[16,17] In the present study, the wound surface pH of LAD vs. conventional dressing on day 0 was (mean ± SD) 8.33 ± 0.35 vs. 8.31 ± 0.38. On day 10, the mean wound surface pH (±SD) in the LAD vs. conventional dressing group was 7.5 ± 0.43 vs. 7.9 ± 0.47. The decrease in the mean wound surface pH (± SD) after 10 days of treatment in the LAD group was 0.83 ± 0.52 while in the conventional dressing group, it was 0.41 ± 0.26 (P = 0.048).

LAD is a newer method of dressing which combines the principles of both negative pressure and moist wound healing. It utilizes a definite intermittent negative pressure regimen of 30 min of negative pressure followed by a rest period of 3.5 h. During the period without negative pressure, the LAD acts as a moist wound dressing. The wound bed preparation period has been shown to be reduced in LAD as compared to conventional dressings.[8] Also, the percentage of graft take under LAD has been shown to be higher.[8] LADs have been shown to

---

**Table 1: Patient demographics and wound characterization at baseline**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>LAD group</th>
<th>Conventional group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years (mean±SD, range)</td>
<td>38.3 ± 10.56, 12-60 years</td>
<td>35.3 ± 14.0, 17-65 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean wound size (cm²) (range)</td>
<td>28 (range: 19-40)</td>
<td>26 (range: 18-39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female (%)</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (%)</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>57.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD: Standard deviation, LAD: Limited access dressing

**Table 2: Results of wound surface pH in the LAD (n = 42) and conventional dressing group (n = 42)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>LAD group (n = 42)</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Conventional dressing group (n = 42)</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Day 0</td>
<td>8.33 ± 0.35</td>
<td></td>
<td>Day 10</td>
<td>7.5 ± 0.43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 10</td>
<td>8.31 ± 0.38</td>
<td>0.41 ± 0.26</td>
<td>Day (0-10)</td>
<td>7.9 ± 0.47</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD: Standard deviation, LAD: Limited access dressing
have a faster rate of healing for both chronic and acute wounds.[3] In the present study, a significant decrease in the wound surface pH of chronic wounds ($P = 0.048$) was noted in patients treated with the LAD as compared with the conventional group. The lower pH may be one of the factors responsible for improved wound healing with LAD as experienced clinically.[18-21] Lower systemic toxic symptoms in patients treated by LAD may be due to reduced activity of bacteria and bacterial toxins under the influence of a lower pH.

In conclusion, the study showed a reduction in the wound pH in LAD treated patients as compared to those who received a conventional dressing treatment. Lowering the pH in chronic wounds may accelerate wound healing by reestablishing equilibrium in the wound bed.
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